## 1. Introduction:

There is much talk, speculation and misinformation on male and female relationships in general and, as an extension, how these relationships fit into groups. There are complications and inaccuracies along with some possible useful information, if interpreted correctly, of male female relationships based many models, such as, Alpha, Beta, Gamma, and Omega Male and Female characteristics and 'pack', 'herd', couple, family or tribal, 'colony', 'hive' 'flock' 'school' and other insect, animal, bird, fish and human family, tribe, and national models, psychologies, archetypes, stereotypes, and cultures.

So to begin to sort out some of this information, misinformation, speculation, and so on we will begin with a couple of hypothesis of what male and female can be defined as in terms of our 'Larger Being' or 'Whole Self'. These definitions can then be hypothesized into what kind of relationships males and females can join into or form with each other before considering how they might relate in groups.

For our purposes our Larger Being or Whole Self will be considered our Soma Aura Monad:
Soma being defined as what most people would consider our Personality or the integration of our physical body, emotions or emotional nature and mind or Sycal (physical/psychical), Astral (emotions), Mental (mind) bodies.

Aura being defined as what most people would consider the Soul, Karmic or Causal body formed by the interaction or relationship of Spirit And Matter.

Monad being defined as what most people would consider the Spirit, pure essence, jiva, Spiritual Triad, etc.

## 2. Gender Polarities of Soma Aura Monad:

One of the main mysteries that can be solved with a simple hypothesis is that we are all both male and female in our Larger Being or Whole Self. This hypothesis is in two parts:
a. The first part of the hypothesis is that the term male is applied to what is termed a 'Positive, numerically odd level of Being or Active Polarity' and the term female is applied to what is termed a 'Negative, numerically even level of Being or Receptive Polarity'.
b. The second part of the hypothesis is that as a male or female progresses either up or down the hierarchy of our Larger Being or Whole Self the polarity changes to the opposite polarity.

The two examples, are that a person in a male Soma physical body would be a female in their Aura, soul or karmic body and would be a male in their Monad or spiritual body; and that a person in a female Soma physical body would be a male in their Aura soul or karmic body and would be a female in their Monad or spiritual body as indicated below:
a. Male
i. Soma-Male
ii. Aura - Female
iii. Monad - Male
b. Female
i. Soma - Female
ii. Aura - Male
iii. Monad - Female

## 3. Male and Female Relationship Progressions and Hierarchies:

Now to talk about the progressions and hierarchies of the relationships of those male and female Larger Beings or Whole Selves we will look at the hypothesis of the Soma relationships of Singles Stereotypes Spouses; the Aura relationships of Ancestors Ancients Archetypes; and the Monad relationships of Monogamies Matrimonies Marriages.

Because of our Larger Being or Whole Self having three hypothetical levels or parts people can relate on different levels with different parts of their Larger Being. For example, on the Soma level of their Larger Beings a male and female could be Singles who know each other or even live together where the male is more active in their relationship; on the Aura level of their Larger Beings that same male and female (now female and male) could be Ancestors who are closely or distantly related in their Souls or linked Karmically where the female (now on this level male) is the more active in their relationship with greater awareness or past life memories, etc.; and on the Monad level of their Larger Beings that same male and female (now once again male and female) could be in a Monogamous Spiritual relationship where the male is again the more consciously active by staying monogamous in their relationship as indicated below:
a. Soma
i. Singles
ii. Stereotypes
iii. Spouses
b. Aura
i. Ancestors
ii. Ancients
iii. Archetypes
c. Monad
i. Monogamies
ii. Matrimonies
iii. Marriages

Because of the two gender types of male and female, the three levels of the Soma Aura Monad of our Larger Being, and the three progressions of each level (Singles Stereotypes Spouses, etc.) there are many types of male female relationships on many levels that go on between people. By looking at male female relationships in the context of groups these types of male female relationships expand even much more and can lead to even much more misunderstandings as discussed in the next section.

## 4. Exoteric, Esoteric, and Occult Groups - Aspect and Attribute Progressions and Hierarchy Positions and Energy Relationships:

Now as to how males and females would fit into Aquarian or New Age Initiatory Groups the hypothesis is that these groups are centered on a triangular relationship or 'seed group' of an exoteric triangle of three aspect members which expands to an esoteric triangle of three groups of three aspect members or nine aspect members and finally to an occult triangle of nine groups of three aspect members or twenty-seven aspect members. The groups are male influenced or 'based' since there is always a majority of males. For example, in the occult triangle of 27 members it consists of 14 males and 13 females ( 5 triangles of 2 males and 1 female and 4 triangles of two females and one male - See Figure 3 for a diagram of the Occult Triangle); the three esoteric triangles of 9 members have two triangles of 2 males and 1 female and one triangle of 1 male and 2 females; and the initial exoteric triangle is formed with 2 males and 1 female. These triangular groups are then supported by the four attribute
members (listed below but not described) and the group operational and functional members and helpers who assist the attribute members (shown in Figure 1 but not listed below or described):

### 4.1 Exoteric Aspect Progressions and Hierarchy Positions and Energy Relationships:

a. Director (D)
b. Educator (E)
c. Instructor (I)
i. Exoteric Group Attribute Progression and Hierarchy Positions:

1) Art
2) Science
3) Religion
4) Politics

Exoteric Group Energy Relationships:
a. Director to Educator and Educator to Director (D-E)
b. Director to Instructor and Instructor to Director (D-I)

The exoteric triangle aspect members are the Director, Educator and Instructor. As an aspect position in the triangle the Director is an active, numerically odd (number 1), male position and has to do with will, power, purpose, leadership and synthesis of a group. The Director is the leader in the group relationships to bring equilibrium to the tolerance of the Educator (D-E relationship) and to the discipline of the Instructor (D-I relationship) in order to synthesize the group into an organic, living unit of purposeful, meaningful, intelligent activity. As an aspect position in the triangle the Educator is a receptive, numerically even (number 2), female position and has to do with love, wisdom and meaningful leadership of a group. As an aspect position in the triangle the Instructor is an active, numerically odd (number 3), male position and has to do with intelligence, activity, instructional leadership and integration of a group. The Instructor is the leader to bring integrated, coordinated activity in support of the group purpose and meaning. See Figure 1 below for a diagram of the first or 'top' Exoteric Triangle including Aspect members with Group Relationships shown as arrows; Attribute members; and showing Operational and Functional levels:


Figure 1

This diagram if studied and understood indicates where a tremendous amount of confusion, misunderstanding, and where a host of other group conflicts and problems enter in to these new Aquarian groups. There are two main reasons for these conflicts and problems:

The first reason is that there are two male aspect positions in each triangle which means, as we have defined above, that there are two positions which are termed a 'Positive, numerically odd level of Being or Active Polarity' (the Directive Leadership and the Instructional Leadership).

The second reason is that between the three aspect members of the triangle the energy relationships, as shown, are only between the Directive Leader and the Educative Leader and the Directive Leader and the Instructional Leader. There is no direct energy relationship between the Educational Leader and the Instructional Leader.

The first reason causes problems between the members in the two male positions because the Instructional Leader normally has more 'active' experience than the Directive Leader and is Instructionally leading the whole Operational and Functional Level of the triangle. Therefore, the Instructional Leader is 'seemingly' in the main role of the triangle where in fact this is not the case. If a triangle, or any group for that matter, works from the 'bottom up' so to say (from activities to purpose) then the purpose of what is being done is normally lost to the problems and activities of the day or the moment and all is compromised, or changed in the best situations or dominated, dictated, demanded, or authoritatively administered in the worst situations. This in general is the present situation of the world or 'world situation'. Things have been turned upside down for supposed 'expediency'. The problems to be solved are so great and of the moment that instead of truer solutions being used to the good and to move everyone forward lesser solutions are used to a lesser good to get some people moved forward. Therefore, there is a natural resistance to the lesser good being 'pushed' on normally the majority. This does not mean that there are not higher solutions. The reason that these higher solutions are not used or not even allowed to be presented is because the Instructional Leader has become a 'center' in themselves instead of a 'part' of a greater triangle.

To correct this we must look more closely at the second reason that is causing problems, that of the direction of the Directive Leader in energetic relationship with the Instructional Leader and the Directive Leader in energetic relationship with the Educational Leader as a group. This is where the Educational Leader is critical in transforming the purposeful direction of the Directive Leader into meaningful education which is then brought into intelligent activity by the Instructional Leader all working as a group (from the top down) under the direction of the Directive Leader.

All of these dynamics of the exoteric groups of triangles apply to the esoteric and occult groups of triangles as well.

### 4.2 Esoteric Group Aspect Progressions and Hierarchy Positions and Energy Relationships:

a. Director (D)
b. Educator (E)
c. Instructor (I)
i. Esoteric Group Attribute Progression and Hierarchy Positions:

1) Art
2) Science
3) Religion
4) Politics

Esoteric Group Energy Relationships:
a. D-E
b. D-I

The esoteric triangle aspect members are again the Director, Educator and Instructor. As an aspect position in the triangle the Director is again an active, numerically odd (number 1), male position and has to do with will, power, purpose leadership and synthesis of a group however now from the Aura, Karmic, Soul or Causal level. The Director is the leader in the group relationships once again to bring equilibrium to the tolerance of the Educator (D-E relationship) and to the discipline of the Instructor (D-I relationship) in order to synthesize the group into an organic, living unit of purposeful, meaningful, intelligent activity. As an aspect position in the triangle the Educator is again a receptive, numerically even (number 2), female position and has to do with love, wisdom and meaningful leadership of a group again now from the Aura, Karmic, Soul or Causal level. As an aspect position in the triangle the Instructor is again an active, numerically odd (number 3), male position and has to do with intelligence, activity, instructional leadership and integration of a group now from the Aura, Karmic, Soul or Causal level. The Instructor is the leader to bring integrated, coordinated activity in support of the group purpose and meaning. See Figure 2 below for a diagram of an Esoteric Triangle formed by three Exoteric Triangles and Figure 3 below for a 'TOP SIDE OBLIQUE' view of the same Esoteric and Exoteric Triangles (including potential group member names):


Figure 2


Figure 3

### 4.3 Occult Group Aspect Progressions and Hierarchy Positions and Energy Relationships:

a. Director (D)
b. Educator (E)
c. Instructor (I)
i. Exoteric Group Attribute Progression and Hierarchy Positions:

1) Art
2) Science
3) Religion
4) Politics

Occult Group Energy Relationships:
a. D-E
b. D-I

The occult triangle aspect members are again the Director, Educator and Instructor. As an aspect position in the triangle the Director is again an active, numerically odd (number 1), male position and has to do with will, power, purpose leadership and synthesis of a group however now from the Monadic or Spiritual level. The Director is the leader in the group relationships once again to bring equilibrium to the tolerance of the Educator (D-E relationship) and to the discipline of the Instructor (D-I relationship) in order to synthesize the group into an organic, living unit of purposeful, meaningful, intelligent activity. As an aspect position in the triangle the Educator is again a receptive, numerically even (number 2), female position and has to do with love, wisdom and meaningful leadership of a group again now from the Monadic or Spiritual level. As an aspect position in the triangle the Instructor is again an active, numerically odd (number 3), male position and has to do with intelligence, activity, instructional leadership and integration of a group now from the Monadic or Spiritual level. The Instructor is the leader to bring integrated, coordinated activity in support of the group purpose and meaning. See Figure 4 below for a diagram of the Occult Triangle of 27 people formed by three Esoteric Triangles each of 9 people which are each formed by three Exoteric Triangles of 3 people:


Figure 4

## 5. Age Indicated Somatic/Personality Decisions, Auric/Karmic Cycle, and Monadic/Spiritual Impulses:

There are many proposed ways of looking at cycles or phases of our life. This hypothesis is based again on the Soma Aura Monad hypothesis of our Larger Being or Whole Self. Simply stated the first 28 years of life are the Soma growth and integration, years 29 to 35 are the
decision and preparation for the Aura cycle and years 43 to the end of our life are preparation for Monad impulses and synthesis.

Simplistically with a little more detail the Soma cycle of the first 28 years can be divided into 4 cycles of 7 years each. From birth to 7 years is the Sycal body or physical/psychic body major growth, from 8 to 14 years is the Astral body or emotional body major growth, from 15 to 21 years is the Mental body or mind body major growth, and from 22 to 28 years is the integration of the Sycal Astral Mental bodies into the Soma body. At age 28 it is then again hypothesized that there is a decision made to either continue on mainly with normal Soma development or to allow additionally Aura and Monad development.

If we decided on allowing Aura and Monad development at age 28 then the 7 years from 29 to 35 are in preparation for our Aura, Soul or Karmic cycle from age 35 to age 42. The Auric cycle from age 35 through age 42 would then be for growth of our Aura or Soul through Karmic consciousness of relationships, situations, places and events, etc.

Again if we decided on allowing Aura and Monad development at age 28 then the 12 years from 42 to 54 are in preparation for our Monad or Spiritual 9 year cycle group impulses first during age 54 years old and the second, and last, nine years later during age 63 years old. These two Monad impulse years of ages 54 and 63 years old would then be for the group growth of our Monad or Spirit through Universal, Divine or God consciousness (which most people do not even acknowledge as a possibility but however is a real probability in this hypothesis). See the summary below:
a. Four seven year cycles Integrating the Soma, Birth to 28 years old.
b. Decision and Preparation/Indenture/Obedience of the Soma 28 years old to 35 years old.
c. Auric/Karmic Cycle 35 years old to 42 years old.
d. Preparation/Indenture/Obedience of the Soma Aura 42 years old to 54 years old.
e. First Monadic Group Impulse 54 years old.
f. Synthesizing of the Soma Aura Monad 54 years old to 63 years old.
g. Second (last) Monadic Group Impulse 63 years old.
h. Synthesis of Monad/Spiritual life until end of life.

## 6. Personal, Astrological and Group Cycles and Relationships:

In considering the Personal, Astrological and Group cycles and how they relate to each other, with the personal cycles the hypothesis is that the personal cycles start, as previously stated, at birth; astrological lunar cycles are related to the moon cycles of Full Moons, New Moons, Solar and Lunar Eclipses, and the solar cycles are related to Solstices and Equinoxes; and the group cycles follow a format where a hypothetical starting point is given.

The personal 7 year cycles start at birth and go through age 42 and the 9 year personal cycles start at age 54 and end at age 63 and then are synthesized throughout the remainder of the life.

The normal regular astrological lunar and solar cycles are monthly and annually however longer term astrological cycles, of course, exist but are not being considered in this brief paper. The astrological year is considered to start on the Full Moon of Aries (when the sun is in the sign Aries usually in the month of April). The solar yearly cycles are considered to start on the Fall Equinox each year.

The first hypothetical Group cycle follows a 3, 9, and 27 year cycle (similar to the correspondence to exoteric, esoteric and occult group formation) by progressively rotating
through 1 year, 3 year and 9 year rotating cycles of Crisis/Consolidation, Tension/Expansion, Emergence/Impact and began on the Full Moon of Taurus 1921. The second hypothetical Group cycle follows a 7 year cycle and is a week long meditation 'Festival' once every 7 years from December $21^{\text {st }}$ - December $28^{\text {th }}$ (the next week is in 2019). See the summary below:
a. Personal 7 year cycles starting at birth
b. Personal 9 years cycles starting at age 54
c. Astrological Lunar monthly cycles starting on the Full Moon of Aries each year.
d. Astrological Solar yearly cycles starting on the Fall Equinox each year.
e. Group 3 year cycles began on the Full Moon of Taurus 1921.
f. Group 9 year cycles began on the Full Moon of Taurus 1921.
g. Group 27 year cycles began on the Full Moon of Taurus 1921.
h. Group 7 year festival cycles (the next one) from December 21 to 28, 2019 (this year).

## 7. Concluding Remarks:

The above hypothesizes can go a long way to helping understand many of the male female characteristics, relationships and the new Aquarian group dynamics into which they can grow and evolve. Because of these dynamics the groups are diverse, cyclic, integrating, synthesizing, multi-aged, multi-experienced, and functioning with different people's consciousness on different levels of awareness. Therefore, Larger Being or Whole Self (Soma Aura Monad) components of both male and female within each individual can be an influence either simultaneously between group members or at different times within the individual or group and relative to the personal, astrological and group cycles to which the individuals or group is sensitive.

